Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/696,281	TERAMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nelson D. Hernandez	2622
The MAILING DATE of this communication apper All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 11 june 2007.		•
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-13</u> .		
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unas All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply IENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAMINER bes reason(s) why the oath or declarate to be submitted. con's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	national stage application from the complying with the requirements 'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF stion is deficient.
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1		
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in to 6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL r	must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. Notice of Informal P 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. Examiner's Amendr 8. Examiner's Stateme 9. Other	(PTO-413), te

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on June 11, 2007. These drawings are acceptable.

Response to Amendment

1. The Examiner acknowledges the amended claims filed on June 11, 2007.

Claims 1 and 6-8 have been amended.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1-13 are allowed.
- 3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 1, the main reason for indication of allowable subject matter is because the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest, including all the elements of the present claim, a detector for detecting whether or not each drive speed control value for said designated amount of operation is within a structural resonance range of said image capturing part and, if a drive speed control value for said designated amount operation is within the structural resonance range of the image capturing part, determine an alternative drive speed control value for that drive speed control value that is not within said structural resonance range from among said plurality of drive speed control values; a changing part for changing said drive speed control value for said

Application/Control Number: 10/696,281

Art Unit: 2622

designated amount of operation that is within the structural resonance range of the image capturing part to said alternative drive speed control value to reduce a driving time period for said designated amount of operation; and a controller for controlling said plurality of drivers with said plurality of drive speed control values in which said drive speed control value for said designated amount of operation that is within the structural resonance range of the image capturing part is changed to said alternative speed control value.

Regarding claim 5, the main reason for indication of allowable subject matter is because the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest, including all the elements in the present claim, that wherein, when resonance conditions that: i) said first drive amount is smaller than said second drive amount, and ii) said first drive speed control value is within a structural resonance range of said image capturing apparatus, are satisfied, said setting part changes said first drive speed control value to an alternative speed control value out of said resonance range, and when said resonance conditions are satisfied, said controller controls said second driver drive on the basis of said second drive speed control value and controls said first driver drive on the basis of said alternative speed control value such that said first drive amount is attained by said first driver within a time period in which said second drive amount is attained by said second driver.

Regarding claim 11, the main reason for indication of allowable subject matter is because the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest, including all the elements in the present claim, that said controller is operable to attain said designated amount of

Art Unit: 2622

change in said relative angle with a combination of: a) a first control time period in which said controller controls said first and second drivers on the basis of first and second drive speed control values, respectively, and b) a second control time period following said first time period in which said controller controls said first and second drivers while stopping one of said first and second drivers, wherein said first and second drive speed control values are determined out of a structural resonance range of said image capturing apparatus.

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson D. Hernandez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7311. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on (571) 272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,281

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Nelson D. Hernandez Examiner Art Unit 2622

Page 5

NDHH June 29, 2007

YE ART UNIT2622